SHARRATT v. MURTHA Doc. 35

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

JUSTIN SHARRATT)
1348 Lucia Drive)
Canonsburg, Pennsylvania 15317)
Plaintiff,)
) Civil Action No. $3:08 - cv - 229$
vs.)
JOHN MURTHA,)
in his individual capacity,)
2238 Woodcrest Drive) Judge Kim R. Gibson
Johnstown, Pennsylvania 15905	
Defendant.))

MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THIS COURT'S ORDER OF JULY 13, 2009 GRANTING THE GOVERNMENT'S MOTION TO SUBSTITUTE THE UNITED STATES FOR DEFENDANT JOHN MURTHA AND MOTION REQUESTING ORAL ARGUMENT ON THE GOVERNMENT'S RULE 12 MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S <u>BIVENS</u> COUNTS.

AND NOW COMES the Plaintiff, Justin Sharratt, by and through his attorney, Noah Geary, Esquire, and respectfully submits this Motion for Reconsideration of this Court's Order of July 13, 2009 Granting the Government's Motion to Substitute the United States for Defendant John Murtha and Motion Requesting Oral Argument on the Government's Rule 12 Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's <u>Bivens</u> Counts, and in support, avers as follows:

- 1. Several hours ago, this Honorable Court issued a Memorandum Opinion and Order of Court granting the Government's Rule 12 Motion to Substitute the United States for Defendant John Murtha as to Plaintiff's State Law tort claims.
- 2. Because this case involves important issues of a Constitutional dimension, the Plaintiff assumed this Court would entertain Oral Argument on the Government's Motion.

3. Plaintiff therefore hereby respectfully requests this Honorable Court to grant this Motion

for Reconsideration and to schedule Oral Argument on the Government's Motion for

Substitution of a Party.

4. As this Court has yet to rule on the Government's Rule 12 Motion to Dismiss Counts I, II

and III of Plaintiff's Complaint, Plaintiff hereby respectfully requests this Court to schedule and

entertain Oral Argument on the Government's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Bivens claims.

5. It is important that Plaintiff's counsel be permitted to advance Plaintiff's arguments via

Oral Argument in this important case.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff hereby respectfully requests this Honorable Court to (i) grant

this Motion for Reconsideration and to schedule Oral Argument on the government's Motion for

Substitution; and (ii) to schedule Oral Argument on the Government's Motion to Dismiss

Plaintiff's Bivens claims.

Respectfully submitted,

July 13, 2009

/s/ Noah Geary

Noah Geary, Esquire Attorney for Plaintiff

225 Washington Trust Building

Washington, PA 15301

(724) 222-3788

PA I.D. # 78283

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.

I, Noah Geary, hereby certify that I served the foregoing Motion for Reconsideration of

this Court's Order of July 13, 2009 Granting the Government's Motion to Substitute the

United States for Defendant John Murtha and Motion Requesting Oral Argument on the

Government's Rule 12 Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Bivens Counts upon Defense Counsel,

Paul Werner, Esquire, on this day, via email:

Paul E. Werner, Esquire U.S. Department of Justice 1425 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 paul.werner@usdoj.gov

Date: July 13, 2009

/s/ Noah Geary

Noah Geary, Esquire Attorney for Plaintiff 225 Washington Trust Building Washington, PA 15301 (724) 222-3788

PA I.D. # 78283